Docket No.: 0696-0250PUS1 Application No.: 10/591,170 Page 2 of 4

Letter dated January 26, 2010.

Reply to Office Action of December 29, 2009

Applicants respectively traverse this statement and objection, and request i) withdrawal

of the Office Action of December 29, 2009 and ii) entry of the preliminary amendment (treating

claims 4-13 on the merits) and iii) re-issuance of the Office Action based on the following

considerations.

It is stated in the statement in the Office Action (see above) that "scalaims 1 and 2 as set

forth in the amendment were not received in any other amendment in the application."

However, the Article 19 Amendment was filed with the present national stage application on

August 30, 2006 (see attachment).

In the Article 19 Amendment, claims 1 and 2 were amended, as compared with claims 1

and 2 of the original claims. (It is noted that the Article 19 Amendment as filed is in a proper

format because it is not necessary for the amendment under Article 19 of PCT to have a changed

portion marked up with underline or strikethrough.)

Further, the Preliminary Amendment was filed on August 30, 2006. Claims 1 and 2 were

not amended in the Preliminary Amendment. In other words, claims 1 and 2 in the Preliminary

Amendment are identical to claims 1 and 2 in the Article 19 Amendment. Therefore, the

identifier "(Previously amended)" (without underlining and strikethrough) of claims 1 and 3 in

the Preliminary Amendment is proper. Thus, the Preliminary Amendment should have been

entered on the merits.

In the Preliminary Amendment, claims 4-9 and 13 were appropriately amended to

remove the improper multiple dependencies as pointed out in the Office Action.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 4-13 should be treated on the

GMM/TK/mua

merits.

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Application No.: 10/591,170 Docket No.: 0696-0250PUS1

Letter dated January 26, 2010 Page 3 of 4

Letter dated January 26, 2010

Reply to Office Action of December 29.

Reply to Office Action of December 29, 2009

For the Examiner's convenience, each of the copies of the Article 19 Amendment,

LETTER (to file the Article 19 Amendment), and the Preliminary Amendment are attached

hereto. (The copies are obtained from PAIR of U.S.P.T.O (the Office). In PAIR, it appears that

the Article 19 Amendment is recorded as Document Code "P.409.IN" (last page of the

documents) and Document Description "IPEA/409-Int'l Prelim Report on Patentability," and

"LETTER" of August 30, 2006 was recorded as Document Code "TRNA" and Document

Description "Transmittal of New Application" (see attachment). It is respectfully submitted that

the Office is responsible for recording the documents as Applicants filed. Thus, the Office

should have appropriately recorded the Article 19 Amendment, etc., so that the Examiner could

have examined this application more efficiently.)

Based on the above considerations, i) withdrawal of the Office Action of December 29,

2009 and ii) entry of the preliminary amendment (treating claims 4-13 on the merits) and iii) re-

issuance of the Office Action be respectfully requested.

Also, in accordance with the provisions of M.P.E.P. § 710.06 (which is partially

reproduced below for the Patent Office's convenience), the U.S.P.T.O. is respectfully requested

to issue a new Office Action and to restart the period for reply.

The instant request is presented within one-month of the issuance date (mail date) of the

outstanding Office Action of December 29, 2009.

710.06 Situations When Reply Period Is Reset or Restarted

Where the citation of a reference is incorrect or an Office action contains some other error that affects applicant's ability to reply to the Office action and this

error is called to the attention of the Office within I month of the mail date of the action, the Office will restart the previously set period for reply to run from the

date the error is corrected, if requested to do so by applicant....

GMM/TK/mua

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Docket No.: 0696-0250PUS1 Application No.: 10/591,170 Page 4 of 4

Letter dated January 26, 2010

Reply to Office Action of December 29, 2009

Where for any reason it becomes necessary to remail any action (MPEP § 707.13), the action should be correspondingly redated, as it is the remailing date that establishes the beginning of the period for reply....

A supplementary action after a rejection explaining the references more explicitly or giving the reasons more fully, even though no further references are cited, establishes a new date from which the statutory period runs....

(Emphasis Added)

Should the U.S.P.T.O. contend that the above provisions of M.P.E.P. § 710.06 do not apply in the matter of the outstanding uncorrected office action, the U.S.P.T.O. is requested to immediately contact Toyohiko Konno (Reg. No. 32,881) at the offices of the undersigned so that an interview can be held at the Examiner's earliest convenience.

If necessary, the Director is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge any fees required during the pendency of the above-identified application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448.

Respectfully submitted, Dated: January 26, 2010

Gerald M. Murphy, Jr.

Registration No.: 28977 BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road, Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

703-205-8000

Attachments: Print out of Image file wrapper from PAIR (1 page)

LETTER (to file the Article 19 Amendment) (1 page)

Article 19 Amendment (1 page) and

Preliminary Amendment filed on August 30, 2006 (5 pages)



10/591,170 Cup package made of a fibre-based material

01-25-2010::20:03:10

This application is officially maintained in electronic form. To View: Click the desired Document Description. To Download and Print: Check the desired document(s) and click Start Download.

Available Documents  Mail Room Date Document Code Document Description Page Count			
12-29-2009	CTNF	Non-Final Rejection	rage count
12-29-2009	892	List of references cited by examiner	
		List of References cited by applicant and considered by	
12-29-2009	1449	examiner	
12-29-2009	SRFW	Search information including classification, databases and other search related notes	,
01-23-2009	APP, FILE.REC	Filing Receipt	;
01-23-2009	M903	Notice of DO/EO Acceptance Mailed	:
08-09-2007	NTC.PUB	Notice of Publication	
05-03-2007	NTC.PUB.DATE	Notice of New or Revised Publication Date	
04-28-2007	IMIS	Miscellaneous Internal Document	,
04-28-2007	WFEE	Fee Worksheet (PTO-875)	
04-28-2007	WCLM	Claims Worksheet (PTO-2022)	
08-30-2006	TRNA	Transmittal of New Application	
08-30-2006	136A	Authorization for Extension of Time all replies	4
08-30-2006	SPEC	Specification	6
08-30-2006	CLM	Claims	
08-30-2006	ABST	Abstract	
08-30-2006	DRW	Drawings-only black and white line drawings	;
08-30-2006	OATH	Oath or Declaration filed	:
08-30-2006	WFEE	Fee Worksheet (PTO-875)	i
08-30-2006	A.PE	Preliminary Amendment	
08-30-2006	CLM	Claims	:
08-30-2006	REM	Applicant Arguments/Remarks Made in an Amendment	
08-30-2006	IDS	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed (SB/08)	
08-30-2006	FOR	Foreign Reference	2
08-30-2006	FOR	Foreign Reference	
08-30-2006	FOR	Foreign Reference	
08-30-2006	FOR	Foreign Reference	1
08-30-2006	FOR	Foreign Reference	1:
08-30-2006	NPL	NPL Documents	,
08-30-2006	371P	Documents submitted with 371 Applications	
08-30-2006	371P	Documents submitted with 371 Applications	
08-30-2006	371P	Documents submitted with 371 Applications	
08-30-2006	371P	Documents submitted with 371 Applications	
08-30-2006	371P	Documents submitted with 371 Applications	
08-30-2006	ABST	Abstract	
	SPEC	Specification	(
08-30-2006	CLM	Claims	
08-30-2006	DRW	Drawings-only black and white line drawings	
08-30-2006	FRPR	Certified Copy of Foreign Priority Application	14
08-30-2006		IPEA/409 - Int'l Prelim Report on Patentability	,
08-30-2006	P.409.IN	Fee Worksheet (PTO-875)	
08-30-2006	WFEE WFEE	Fee Worksheet (PTO-875)	

# IAP5 Rec'd PCT/PTO, 3 Q AUG 2005

PATENT)

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Jari RÄSÄNEN et al.

Application No.: NEW

Confirmation No.: N/A

Filed: August 30, 2006

Art Unit: N/A

For: CUP PACKAGE MADE OF A FIBRE-BASED

MATERIAL

Examiner: Not Yet Assigned

#### **LETTER**

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The PTO is requested to use the amended sheets/claims attached hereto (which correspond to Article 19 amendments or to claims attached to the International Preliminary Examination Report (Article 34)) during prosecution of the above-identified national phase PCT application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37.C.F.R. §1.16 or 1.14; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: August 30, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Gerald M. Murphy, Jr. Registration No.: 28,977

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Attachment(s)

7

#### Claims

5

30

- 1. A cup package made of a fibre-based material, comprising a cup (1) containing a packaged product (2) and a lid (6) closing the mouth of the cup, both the cup and the lid being **characterised** in that the cup (1) comprises a mantle (3) bent downward at the mouth (4) of the cup to form a collar (5) diverging from the mantle, and in that the lid (6) has a downwardly oriented rim (8), at which the lid is fixed to the collar by heat sealing.
- 2. A package as defined in claim 1, characterised in that the mantle (3) of the cup (1) is enlarged conically upwardly.
  - 3. A package as defined in claim 1 or 2, characterised in that the cup collar (5) and the lid rim (8) are enlarged conically downwardly.
- 4. A package as defined in any of the preceding claims, characterised in that the cup (1) is made from polymer-coated board (15) with at least the inner surface of the cup mantle (3) and the outer surface of the collar (5) being polymer coated.
- 5. A package as defined in any of the preceding claims, **characterised** in that the lid (6) has been formed of a polymer-coated board (15) with at least the inner surface of the lid being polymer-coated.
- 6. A package as defined in claims 4 and 5, **characterised** in that the polymer coating of the board (15) comprises an inner oxygen barrier layer (16) and an outer heat-sealing layer (17).
  - 7. A package as defined in any of the preceding claims, characterised in that the lid (6) comprises at its centre a recess (14) defined by a conical surface (13) and nested with the cup (1) so that the friction and/or compression between the cup mantle (3) and the opposite conical surfaces of the lid recess retain the lid in position in the reclosed package.
- 8. A package as defined in any of the preceding claims, **characterised** in that a recess (14) has been formed at the centre of the lid (6) for receiving the bottom of the package on top as the packages are piled.

# IAP5 Rec'd PCT/PTO 30 AUG 2006

Docket No.: 0696-0250PUS1 10/59PAF540

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Jari RÄSÄNEN et al.

International Application No.: PCT/FI2005/000162

Application No.: NEW

Art Unit: N/A

Filed: August 30, 2006

Examiner: Not Yet Assigned

For: CUP PACKAGE MADE OF A FIBRE-BASED

MATERIAL

#### PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

MS PCT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

#### INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

The following preliminary amendments and remarks are respectfully submitted in connection with the above-identified application.

This amendment includes:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 5 of this paper.

Application No.: NEW Docket No.: 0696-0250PUS1

# AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

1. (previously presented) A cup package made of a fibre-based material, comprising a cup (1) containing a packaged product (2) and a lid (6) closing the mouth of the cup, both the cup and the lid being **characterised** in that the cup (1) comprises a mantle (3) bent downward at the mouth (4) of the cup to form a collar (5) diverging from the mantle, and in that the lid (6) has a downwardly oriented rim (8), at which the lid is fixed to the collar by heat sealing.

- 2. (Previously presented) A package as defined in claim 1, **characterised** in that the mantle (3) of the cup (1) is enlarged conically upwardly.
- 3. (Original) A package as defined in claim 1 or 2, **characterised** in that the cup collar (5) and the lid rim (8) are enlarged conically downwardly.
- 4. (Currently amended) A package as defined in any of the preceding claims claim 1, characterised in that the cup (1) is made from polymer-coated board (15) with at least the inner surface of the cup mantle (3) and the outer surface of the collar (5) being polymer coated.
- 5. (Currently amended) A package as defined in any of the preceding claims claim 1, characterised in that the lid (6) has been formed of a polymer-coated board (15) with at least the inner surface of the lid being polymer-coated.

6. (Currently amended) A package as defined in claims 4-and 5 claim 4, characterised in that the polymer coating of the board (15) comprises an inner oxygen barrier layer (16) and an outer heat-sealing layer (17).

- 7. (Currently amended) A package as defined in any of the preceding claims claim 1, characterised in that the lid (6) comprises at its centre a recess (14) defined by a conical surface (13) and nested with the cup (1) so that the friction and/or compression between the cup mantle (3) and the opposite conical surfaces of the lid recess retain the lid in position in the reclosed package.
- 8. (Currently amended) A package as defined in any of the preceding claims claim 1, characterised in that a recess (14) has been formed at the centre of the lid (6) for receiving the bottom of the package on top as the packages are piled.
- 9. (Currently amended) A package as defined in any of the preceding claims claim 1, characterised in that an annular tear strip (11, 19) surrounding the lid is provided in the area of the rim (8) of the lid (6) for opening the package.
- 10. (Original) A package as defined in claim 9, **characterised** in that the tear strip is an annular stripe (11) defined by two aligned lines of perforations, the stripe being detached when torn.

11. (Original) A package as defined in claim 9, characterised in that the tear strip is an annular stripe (11') defined between the perforation line (10) and the lid rim, the lid (6) being peelably sealed in the collar (5) of the cup in the area of the stripe and detaching when torn.

12. (Original) A package as defined in claim 10 or 11, **characterised** in that the perforations in the line (10) of perforations penetrate through the board layer (15) of the lid (6), while leaving the subjacent polymer coating (16, 17) intact.

13. (Currently amended) A package as defined in any of the preceding claims claim 1, characterised in that the package is a portion package for a foodstuff, such as a drink, a dessert or sweets.

### <u>REMARKS</u>

The Claims have been amended to remove improper multiple dependencies. Claims 1-8 are pending in this application.

#### Conclusion

Entry of the above amendments is earnestly solicited. An early and favorable first action on the merits is earnestly solicited.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Gerald M. Murphy, Jr. (Reg. No. 28,977) at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.14; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: August 30, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Gerald M. Murphy Jr. Registration No. 28,977

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCIA & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehbuse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

5 GMM/smt